1 2		Honorable Timothy W. Dore Chapter 11 Hearing Location: Seattle, Rm 8106	
3		Hearing Date: January 8, 2024 Hearing Time: 9:30 am Response Date: January 2, 2024	
4		Response Date. January 2, 2024	
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	In re		
9	STRATEGIES 360, INC.,	No. 23-12303	
10 11 12 13	Debtor.	KEYBANK'S JOINDER TO TRUSTEE'S LIMITED OBJECTION TO THE DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING KEY EMPLOYEE RETENTION PLAN AND FURTHER OBJECTION	
14	KeyBank, N.A. ("KeyBank"), a secured	creditor in this case, submits this joinder to the United	
15	States Trustee's Limited Objection (Dkt. No. 100) to the Debtor's Motion (the "KERP Motion") for		
16	Order Authorizing Key Employee Retention Pla	n. KeyBank further objects as follows:	
17	1. KeyBank is the Debtor's secured line of credit lender, owed \$3,665,639.30 in principal,		
18	plus accrued and accruing contract and default	rate interest, attorneys' fees and costs (the "KeyBank	
19	Secured Claim"), as documented in part by a Bu	siness Loan Agreement, Promissory Note, Commercial	
20	Security Agreement. Commercial Guaranty, and	d Uniform Commercial Code financing statement filed	
21	with Washington's Department of Licensing ar	nd other documents (collectively, the "KeyBank Loan	
22			
23			

KEYBANK'S LIMITED OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING KEY EMPLOYEE RETENTION PLAN-Page 1

KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104 Main: (206) 223 1313 Fax: (206) 682 7100

Documents"). Copies of the KeyBank Loan Documents are already in the record as exhibits attached to the Declaration of John Rosenberg. Dkt. No. 6.

- 2. The KeyBank Secured Claim is secured by first priority valid and perfected security interests in substantially all of the Debtor's personal property (the "Collateral"), including the Debtor's cash collateral (the "Cash Collateral").
- 3. As accurately stated in the Trustee's Objection, The Debtor's submissions are insufficient to meet the required burden under 11 U.S.C. § 503(c)(3). Although Debtor's KERP Motion mentions various details of the KERP and conditions on payment, there is no transparency as to the specifics of the plan nor any reference to a specific plan that could be scrutinized by the Court and parties.
- 4. The Debtor's proposed order similarly contains no description of the KERP's details nor any conditions on payments. It simply states "the KERP is approved and the Debtor is authorized to implement the KERP and the Debtor is authorized by not directed to make the payments associated with the KERP." Dkt. 66-1 at 2.
- 5. Determining the scope and terms of the KERP requires inferences from representations in the KERP Motion. The KERP Motion states "the Debtor's board of directors approved a Non-Insider Key Employee Retention Plan." Dkt. 67 at 1. However, the KERP Motion does not make clear what the Board may consider an "insider" and provides no plan details. It is critical that any order approving a KERP make clear the definition of "insider" is governed by the Bankruptcy Code including both insiders as defined by Section 101(31) and non-statutory insiders, *see In re AMR Corp.*, 490 B.R. 158, 166 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2013) not on the Board's definition, whatever that may be.

22

21

23

KEYBANK'S LIMITED OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING KEY EMPLOYEE RETENTION PLAN– Page 2

1	6. Consistent with the Bankruptcy Code's definition, any order approving a KERP should	
2	further clarify that no senior management officer or director is qualified to receive or will receive KERP	
3	payments.	
4	7. The KERP Motion asserts the "total cost of the KERP is expected to be approximately	
5	"\$350,000." Dkt. No. 66 at 3. No rationale of any kind is provided for this purported expectation.	
6	8. Any order approving a KERP should limit KERP payments only to two weeks' worth	
7	of wages to non-insider employees at the same pay rates as of the petition date. In no event should these	
8	payments, in total, exceed the \$350,000 estimated in the KERP Motion.	
9	9. Finally, any order authorizing a KERP should ensure that no KERP payment may be	
10	made from the Cash Collateral unless authorized under and compliant with the Court's pending Final	
11	Order on Use of Cash Collateral, and that any KERP payments authorized by the Court are without	
12	prejudice to KeyBank's rights under the Final Order on Use of Cash Collateral.	
13	10. A revised form of order accompanies this objection.	
14		
15	DATED this 2 nd day of January, 2023.	
16		
17	/s/Bruce W. Leaverton Bruce W. Leaverton, WSBA #15329	
18	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104	
19	Telephone: 206-223-1313 Facsimile: 206-682-7100	
20	Email: bleaverton@karrtuttle.com Attorneys for KeyBank, N.A.	
21		
22		
23		

KEYBANK'S LIMITED OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING KEY EMPLOYEE RETENTION PLAN-Page 3

KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98104 Main: (206) 223 1313 Fax: (206) 682 7100